## SPEECH AND LANGUAGE EVALUATION SCALE (SLES)

#### Diane R. Fressola, M.A., CCC-SLP Sandra Cipponeri Hoerchler, M.S.

Copyright © 1989

The Speech and Language Evaluation Scale (SLES) was developed to aid in screening, identification, and program planning for children and adolescents with speech and/or language problems in school. The SLES is based on the federal definition of speech impaired (PL 94-142) and the speech and language disorders definition recognized by the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. Each subscale is associated with one of the most commonly recognized characteristics of speech and language disorders.

The SLES Speech subscales are

- Articulation,
- Voice, and
- Fluency.

The SLES Language subscales are

- Form,
- Content, and
- Pragmatics.

During initial development, the **SLES** was subjected to rigorous field testing by clinicians and teachers from all grade levels (K-12) which resulted in the 68 items included in the final version of the scale. The SLES was standardized on 4,501 students 4.5 through 18+ years of age distributed evenly across all grade levels. Separate norms are provided for males and females. Demographic characteristics of the standardization sample closely represent national percentages for gender, race, residence, geographic area, and occupation of parents.

Internal consistency of the SLES was .96 for the total scale. Test-retest reliability yielded correlation coefficients which exceeded .86 for each of the six subscales. The total scale inter-rater reliability correlation coefficient of .64 indicates a p<.01 degree of reliability. Content validity was established through the initial development process. Diagnostic validity was established by comparing the mean subscale standard scores and mean total quotients of identified speech and/or language impaired students with unimpaired students. The speech and/or language impaired students received scores which were statistically significant (p<.001) for most subscales. Item performance correlated positively with total scale performance and further substantiated the internal cohesiveness of the scale as a measure of speech and language problems.

Each item on the **SLES** is rated on a three-point scale of (0) ALWAYS, (1) OCCASIONALLY, or (2) NEVER. Following administration, four types of scores may be obtained: frequency rating for each item (reflecting the degree of success demonstrated for a communication skill), subscale raw score (the sum of the frequency ratings for each subscale), subscale standard score (a consistent basis for comparing students), and a percentile (a global index of all skills measured within the total scale). Using the subscale standard scores, a profile of the student's level of speech and language functioning across the six subscales may be constructed.

The **SLES** may be completed in approximately 20 minutes by anyone familiar with the student: classroom teacher, clinical personnel, or other school personnel. The **SLES** complete kit consists of the *Pre-Referral Speech and Language Checklists*, *Pre-Referral Intervention Strategies Documentation Forms*, *Speech and Language Evaluation Scale rating forms*, *Speech and Language Evaluation Scale Technical Manual*, and the *Speech and Language Classroom Intervention Manual* (252 pages). The **SLES Quick Score** is a Windows®-compatible program which converts raw scores to standard and percentile scores.

The *Speech and Language Classroom Intervention Manual* (**SLCIM**) includes goals, objectives, and intervention strategies for the regular education classroom teacher to use in supporting the clinician's intervention program. The **SLCIM** is particularly useful for pre-referral intervention activities.

The **SLES** is a valuable tool designed to assist speech and language clinicians in screening, assessment, diagnostic, and programming decisions for speech and/or language impaired children and adolescents. The **SLES** will significantly improve the screening and referral process of students in need of speech and/or language services, providing clinicians with additional time to balance the demands of assessment and therapy.

Phone: (800) 542-1673 FAX: (800) 442-9509

## PRE-REFERRAL SPEECH AND LANGUAGE CHECKLIST

Diane R. Fressola and Sandra C. Hoerchler

Date:	
Name of student:	Birthdate: Age: Gender:
School:	Grade:
City: State:	Observed by:
Observer's position:	
Length of time each day with student: (hours) (minute special education services the student has received:	ites)
Grade(s) repeated: Reason (if known How well the student is known by the observer (indicate type)	pe of interactions): 9
ARTICULATION  1. Substitutes one sound for another sound (e.g., "The ball is wed." for "The ball is red.")  2. Omits a sound in a word (e.g., "Pay the piano." for "Play the piano.")  3. Inserts an extra sound in a word (e.g., "Stit down." for "Sit down.")  4. Distorts or mispronounces words or sounds when speaking (not attributed to dialect or accent)  5. Demonstrates difficulty imitating speech sounds	d the student demonstrate during the past month.
6. Shows signs of frustration when he/she is frequently misunderstood	11. Voice quality sounds harsh, breathy, and/or hoarse

Copyright © 1989 2/10 Hawthorne Educational Services, Inc. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

It is your responsibility as a professional or parent to immediately inform the publisher if you are asked to complete any reproduction of this form. The original form is beige with brown print. If you have this form in any other color, it was illegally reproduced. You are not permitted to complete or use any reproduced form. Hawthorne Educational Services, Inc., will pay a reward of \$100 for actionable evidence of illegal copying or faxing.

(800) 542-1673

# SPEECH AND LANGUAGE EVALUATION SCALE

Diane R. Fressola, M.A., CCC-S and Sandra Cippponeri Hoerchler, M.S. Editing by Jacquelyn S. Hagan, M.A., CCC-S and Steven B. McDannold, M.A., CCC-S

### STUDENT RATING FORM

Name of Student:	7homas	Sam	<u> </u>	Age: _	<u> </u>	7	_ Sex: _	$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$
	(last)	(first)	(middle)		(years)	(months)		
School: <u>Benton</u>		City:	Wheeler		State:	<u>Color</u>	ado	
Grade Level:	4	Date(s) of Ra	ting:	month)				<b>89</b> (year)
Has Student Ever Rece	ived Special Educat	tion Services:	<u>yes - spee</u>	<u>ch/languag</u>	re			
Grade Repeated:	1st	Reason (it	f know): <u>re</u>	<u>ading diffi</u>	culties			
Rated by:	Dee Jone	s	Posi	tion:	e	lassroom r	teacher_	
Student Known to Rate			Length of Tim	e Each Day	With Studen			20
II W 11 4 C 1	(from)	(to)	0:	,		(ho	urs)	(minutes)
How Well the Student i	s Known by the Ra	ter (indicate ty	pe of interaction	ons):				

## **SLES Profile**

	SPEECH SUBSCALE LANGUAGE								
Standard Scores	Articulation	Voice	Fluency	Form	Content	Pragmatics	Standard Score	Percentiles	Percentile Rank
20 19	•	•	•	•	•	•	20 19	99 95	•
18	•	•	•	•	•	•	18	90	•
17		•	•				17	85	
16	•	•	•	•	•	•	16	80	•
15	•	•	•	•	•	•	15	75	•
14	•	•	•	•	•	•	14	70	•
13	•	•	•	•	•	•	13	65	•
12	•	•	•	•	•	•	12	60	•
11 10	•	•	• <del>≸</del>	•	•	•	11 10	55 50	•
9	_	#	<del>7</del>	T .	<b>T</b>	T .	9	45	
8	•	•	•		•	a a	8	40	
7	•	•	•	•	•		7	35	•
6	X	•	•	•	•	•	6	30	•
5	•	•	•	•	•	•	5	25	•
4	•	•	•	•	•	•	4	20	•
3	•	•	•	R	•	•	3	15	•
2	•	•	•	•	•	•	2	10	•
1	•	•	•	•	ж	•	1	5	, a
								1	a

Copyright © 1989

Hawthorne Educational Services Inc.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

OCCASIONALLY

NEVER

flaring of nostrils, slapping body or table with hand and/or other jerky body movements, and limited or

Dysfluency interferes with daily communication (e.g., dysfluencies make it difficult for the student

nonexistent eye contact)

to be understood)

**ALWAYS** 

12. Voice quality sounds hypernasal (e.g., sounds like

13. Voice is intermittently or completely lost

the student is talking through his/her nose) or hyponasal (e.g., sounds like the student has a cold)

0 1. Substitutes one sound for another sound (e.g., "The 14. Volume is too loud or too soft for the situation ball is wed." for "The ball is red.") 15. Pitch is too high or too low for age and sex 2. A sound is omitted in a word (e.g., "Pay the piano." for "Play the piano.") 16. Voice quality interferes with daily communication (i.e., the student is difficult to understand because 3. An extra sound is inserted in a word (e.g., "Stit of voice quality) down." for "Sit down.") 17. Voice quality causes unfavorable listener reaction 4. Distorts or mispronounces words or sounds when (i.e., voice is so harsh, breathy, and/or hoarse as to make it unpleasant to listen to the student) speaking (not attributed to dialect or accent) 2 18. Shows signs of frustration because of vocal quality 5. Has difficulty imitating speech sounds (e.g., becomes anxious, upset, angry, etc.) 6. Shows signs of frustration when he/she is frequent-*⊣15* Raw Score ly misunderstood Subscale Standard Score 7. Has difficulty sequencing speech sounds in mul-Rating tisyllabic words (e.g., student says "ephelant" **FLUENCY** instead of "elephant") 2 19. Flow of speech is interrupted during conversation by "part word repetition" (e.g., student says, "I 8. Articulation interferes with daily communication want some wa-wa-water.") Speech causes unfavorable listener reaction (i.e., 20. Flow of speech is interrupted during conversation substitutions, omissions, insertions, mispronunby "whole word repetition" (e.g., student says, "I ciations, etc., make it unpleasant to listen to the want-want-some water.") student) 2 21. Flow of speech is interrupted during conversation 10. Rate of speech is too fast or too slow by "prolongations" (e.g., student says, "I want ssssssome water.") 13 Raw Score 22. Flow of speech is interrupted during conversation Subscale Standard Score by "interjections" (e.g., student says, "I want uhuh-uh-some water.") VOICE Secondary characteristics are present while speak-Voice quality sounds harsh, breathy, and/or hoarse ing (e.g., eye blinking, jaw jerking, lip rounding,

	ALWAYS 0	OCCASIONALI  1	Y NEVER 2
<b>2</b> 10.	Dysfluent speech causes unfavorable listention (e.g., dysfluencies make it unpleasant to the student)		Omits function words when speaking (e.g., "He sits on box." instead of "He sits on the box." or "He not here." instead of "He is not here.")
<b>2</b> 11.	Shows signs of frustration because of dysfl speech (e.g., becomes anxious, upset, angry		Uses plurality incorrectly in noun and verb forms (e.g., mans/men, gooses/geese, "The boys is climbing the tree.", etc.)
15	Raw Score	<b>1</b> 25.	Uses incorrect grammar when speaking (not at-
10	Subscale Standard Score	23.	tributed to dialect)
1 12.	FORM Uses inappropriate subject-verb agreement speaking (e.g., says, "It don't matter to me. stead of "It doesn't matter to me.")		Can only write simple, short, noncomplex sentences (If the student is not yet writing, rate this item 0.)
			Raw Score
13.	Uses sentences which are grammatically in plete when speaking (e.g., "Ball under the instead of "The ball is under the table.")	com-table."	Subscale Standard Score
			CONTENT
<ul><li>2 14.</li><li>1 15.</li></ul>	Does not form questions appropriately whe speaking (e.g., "Billy not here today?")  Uses incorrect word order when speaking ( "Got me my mom a new bike." instead of "	e.g., S F O'	Has difficulty grasping concepts involving time, space, quantity, quality, and directionality (e.g., before/after, above/below, most/least, smooth/rough, and left/right)  Has difficulty understanding directions and/or car-
<b>1</b> 16.	mom got me a new bike.")  Uses pronouns incorrectly (e.g., "Me will g now." instead of "I will go home now.")		Has difficulty understanding directions and/or carrying out instructions and often requires repetition or rephrasing
<b>1</b> 17.	Uses inappropriate verb tenses when speak (e.g., past, present, future)	ing <b>2</b> 9.	Has difficulty comprehending picture and/or verbal absurdities (e.g., cannot explain what is wrong when given a picture or a verbal statement such as "The miniature dog is as big as a horse.")
18.	Omits present progressive when speaking ("Bobby walk to school today." instead of "is walking to school today.")		Has a limited expressive and/or receptive vocabulary
<b>0</b> 19.	Can only speak in short, simple sentences value complexity	which 0 31.	Demonstrates inappropriate (or inaccurate) sequencing skills when speaking (e.g., does not
<b>0</b> 20.	Uses incorrect grammar when writing not dialect (If the student is not yet writing, ratitem 0.)		relate information in the correct order, including events in a day and/or rote type activities such as counting)
<b>0</b> 21.	Composes incomplete sentences or express incomplete thoughts when writing (If the st		Expresses incomplete thoughts when speaking (e.g., speaks in incomplete sentences, fails to think of correct words to express ideas, etc.)

**0** 33.

of correct words to express ideas, etc.)

lems, rate this item 0.)

Has difficulty solving math word problems even

though his/her computation skills are adequate (If

the student is not yet performing math word prob-

incomplete thoughts when writing (If the student is

Uses negation inappropriately when speaking (e.g.,

not yet writing, rate this item 0.)

"He no come to my house.")

<b>0</b> 10.	Has difficulty understanding what he/she reads even though he/she has adequate word attack ski	ills	Lacks spontaneity, originality, and/or variety in verbal interactions
<b>0</b> 11.	(If the student is not yet reading, rate this item 0.) Has difficulty understanding and using synonym antonyms, and homonyms (e.g., pretty/beautiful, up/down, and sea/see)	<b>1</b> 21.	Is slow to respond and/or fails to make relevant responses (e.g., When asked "What is your name?", the student may respond "I'm fine.")
<b>0</b> 12.	Has difficulty understanding analogies (e.g., Hot to cold as up is to down.)	<b>1</b> 22.	Uses inappropriate verbal and/or nonverbal language in social situations or interactions with peers and/or adults
<b>0</b> 13.	Has difficulty recognizing and using multiple- meaning words (e.g., "The fly is on the wall." an "I will fly home.")	<b>1</b> 23.	Demonstrates difficulty expressing logical and reasonable responses to questions (e.g., problem solving, making decisions, making inferences)
<b>1</b> 14.	Demonstrates difficulty understanding the mean of words indicating a question (e.g., who, what, when, why, and how)	ng <b>2</b> 4.	Has difficulty expressing opinions, feelings, and/or emotions
<b>0</b> 15.	Has difficulty describing objects or events across several dimensions including category, function, composition, similarities/differences, and other	TO	Has difficulty asking for assistance or clarification, when necessary, after receiving directions and/or attempting work independently
		26.	Has difficulty using ritualistic greetings/closings when appropriate (e.g., "Hello," "Good-bye")
<b>7</b> 16.	Has difficulty comprehending passive sentence form (e.g., "The boy was being followed by his sister. Was the sister in front?")	<b>1</b> 27.	Has difficulty understanding cause-and-effect relationships (e.g., If you oversleep, you will be late for school.)
<b>0</b> 17.	Has difficulty understanding nonliteral forms of speech such as idioms, proverbs, similes, metaphors, jokes, puns, and riddles	<b>1</b> 28.	Demonstrates difficulty with topic initiation, maintenance, and/or closure including irrelevant, tangential, or associative responses; and/or tends
4	Raw Score		to circumlocute - talking "around" instead of "on" the topic
	Subscale Standard Score	<b>1</b> 29.	Exhibits difficulty using oral language as a tool to
	PRAGMATICS		obtain desired results
<b>2</b> 18.	Has difficulty generalizing information from one situation to another (e.g., identifies the word "house" on a flashcard, but is unable to identify	it —	Raw Score
	in a sentence; can count by fives, but cannot counickels; knows that $6\times8=48$ , but cannot understathat $48\div6=8$ ; etc.)		Subscale Standard Score Sum of Subscale Standard Score
<b>2</b> 19.	Has difficulty pretending, role-playing, and imagining	6	Percentile Score

OCCASIONALLY

**NEVER** 

**ALWAYS** 

#### **GUIDELINES FOR RATING THE STUDENT**

- The student should be rated by educators with primary observational opportunities. These persons would usually be teachers or paraprofessionals who work directly with the student.
- Any number of educators may rate the student. Each person rating the student should use a separate rating form.
- Should an educator rating a student have no knowledge of the student's ability to perform a particular item on the scale, it is recommended that another educator be consulted to provide information for that item. Do not leave any items blank.
- Important: Since many items on the scale are developmental in nature, in some cases students will not yet have developed a particular skill. The rating for those items should indicate that the student does not yet have the ability to perform those items (i.e., (0) ALWAYS). Students are only compared to others of their own age group who would also not yet have the same skills or abilities.
- When rating a student, the rater should first read the quantifiers and then the item in considering which quantifier best describes the student's ability. Item number five can serve as an example. The rater should consider: does the student (0) ALWAYS have difficulty imitating speech sounds, (1) OCCASIONALLY have difficulty imitating speech sounds, or (2) NEVER have difficulty imitating speech sounds.
- While no established length of time is necessary to observe a student, ratings should be conducted by educators familiar with the student's abilities. In some instances, it may be possible to become familiar with the student's abilities in as short a time as two weeks. This would more likely be true at the elementary level, while at the secondary level it may take longer to become aware of the student's delities.
- The SLES may be used to rate a student at intervals it roughout the school year. The scale is particularly helpful in identifying abilities at the time of entry into a cogram and progress made over time. For this purpose, it is recommended that a student be rated each quarter, or more frequently, as appropriate.
- It is not necessary to complete the rating of a student in one sitting. Several days may elapse before the observer is able to complete the scale.
- The SLES is not a test which requires a performance demonstration for each item on the scale. The observer should rely on observations of the student's ability to perform the items on the scale as those behaviors occur naturally in the educational environment.

Additional copies of this material or other Hawthorne Educational Services, Inc., products may be ordered directly from

### Hawthorne

Educational Services, Inc. 800 Gray Oak Drive Columbia, MO 65201

Telephone: (573) 874-1710 FAX: (800) 442-9509 www.hes-inc.com www.hawthorne-ed.com

## IV. Goals, Objectives, and Interventions

#### Substitutes one sound for another sound 1

#### Goals:

- 1. The student will improve his/her articulation skills.
- 2. The student will improve his/her discrimination of speech sounds.
- 3. The student will improve his/her production of speech sounds in isolation.
- 4. The student will improve his/her production of speech sounds in syllables.
- 5. The student will improve his/her production of speech sounds in words.
- 6. The student will improve his/her production of speech sounds in sentences.
- 7. The student will improve his/her production of speech sounds in spontaneous speech.

#### **Objectives:**

1.	The student will discriminate between correct and incorrect production of the target sound in
	out of trials.

- 2. The student will imitate correct production of the target sound in isolation in \_\_\_\_\_ out of \_\_\_\_
- 3. The student will imitate correct production of the target sound in words in out of \_\_\_\_\_
- 4. The student will spontaneously produce the target sound in words in
- 5. The student will spontaneously produce the target sound in sentences in
- 6. The student will spontaneously produce the target sound in conversational speech in \_\_\_\_\_ out trials.

#### **Interventions:**

- 1. Have the student's hearing checked if has not been recently checked.
- 2. Evaluate the appropriateness of requiring the student to accurately produce certain sounds (e.g., developmentally, certain sounds may not be produced accurately until the age of 8 or 9).
- **3.** Speak to the student to explain what he/she needs to do differently (e.g., use the /r/ sound instead of the /w/ sound). The teacher should be careful to use the sound that is being targeted and not the letter name (e.g., /r/ not / ar/).
- **4.** Reinforce the student for correct productions of the target sound: (a) give the student a tangible reward (e.g., classroom privileges, line leading, passing out materials, five minutes free time, etc.) or (b) give the student an intangible reward (e.g., praise, handshake, smile, etc.). Initially, each correct production may need reinforcement. As the student progresses, more random reinforcement may be adequate.

- 5. Be sure that the student can hear the difference between the sound as it should be made (target sound) and the way he/she is producing it incorrectly (error sound).
- **6.** Have the student raise a hand or clap hands when he/she hears the target sound produced during a series of isolated sound productions (e.g., /s/, /sh/, /r/, /m/, /r/, /t/, /k/, /r/, /z/, /w/, /n/, /r/, etc.).
- 7. Use a puppet to produce the target and error sounds. The student earns a sticker for correctly distinguishing a set number of correct/ incorrect productions the puppet makes.
- **8.** Have the student stand up each time he/ she hears the target sound produced accurately in contrast to the error sound (e.g., /w/, /r/, /r/, /w/, /w/, /w/, /r/, /r/, etc.).
- **9.** Have the student show "thumbs up" each time the target sound is produced accurately when a picture is labeled and "thumbs down" if the target sound is produced inaccurately.